



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,079	09/04/2003	Jun Ikeda	CFA00003US	8352
34904	7590	05/13/2008		
CANON U.S.A. INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION 15975 ALTON PARKWAY IRVINE, CA 92618-3731			EXAMINER	
			DICKER, DENNIS T	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2625		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		05/13/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/656,079	IKEDA, JUN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DENNIS DICKER	2625	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 April 2008.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-14 and 16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-14 and 16 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/1/2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Qiao (hereinafter "Qiao '423' 2002/0097423) in view of Sugahara (hereinafter "Sugahara '671" US 2002/0105671).

With respect to **Claim 1**, Qiao '423 teaches a data processing apparatus (*i.e., Para 0011-0012, Printer*) for communicating with a plurality of information processing apparatuses (*i.e., Fig. 13 and Para 0030, Printer in communication with clients on the network*) where the data processing apparatus compromises a storing means (*i.e., Para 0012, packet monitoring means stores information*) for storing a condition (*i.e.,*

Para 0012 , Packet monitoring means stores last client receive time condition) for transitioning state of supplying power of a power source unit to each device in the data processing apparatus (i.e., Para 0012, Last received time is used to determine if the controller will transition the printer into a standby state), and power control means (i.e., Para 0014 , Power forcing means) for controlling the state of supplying power of the power source unit to each device (i.e., Para 0014 , Power is saved in each device of the printer when conditions are met) based on the result of the process examination (i.e., 208 of fig. 12 ,the process examination checks if the client is idle or not) and the condition stored by the storing means (i.e., 206 of Fig. 12, received time stored is compare to predetermined value).

Qiao '423' does not explicitly teach examining that a process that has a specific process name is running on each of the plurality of information processing apparatuses through the network.

However, the mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Sugahara '671, In particular, Sugahara '671 teaches the use of an examining means (i.e., **Para 0023, job observation stationary module and status monitor**) for examining that a process that has a specific process name (i.e., **Para 0022-0023, job observation module incorporated in a server where a server may be associated with each printer is used to examine processes with specific names such as whether a 'print job' is running or not**) is running on each of the plurality of information processing apparatuses through the network (i.e., **Para 0022-0023, 'status condition' process running on each printer over a network**).

In view of this, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to modify the data processing apparatus of Qiao '423 as taught by Sugahara '671 since Sugahara '671 suggested in Para 0008-0009 that such a modification would provide an improved printing system capable of executing efficient print operation

With respect to **Claim 2**, Qiao '423 teaches a data processing apparatus wherein the examining means examines the process in accordance with user-defined parameters (**i.e., Para 0056 ,the examining means examines client computers in accordance with user defined parameters).**

With respect to **Claim 3**, Qiao '423 teaches a data processing apparatus wherein the user defined parameters include whether the process is active (**i.e., 208 of fig. 12 , after the user defined parameter met the process examination checks if the client is active or not)**

With respect to **Claim 4**, Qiao '423 teaches a data processing apparatus wherein the examining means examines a load average of the process (**i.e., Para 0012, summing of past average usage rates of clients)** and wherein the power control means controls the power supply state based on the results of the process examination of the load average (**i.e., 210 of Fig. 12, Load average is examined and helps determine if the power control means will control the printer into standby mode).**

With respect to **Claim 5**, Qiao '423 teaches a data processing apparatus wherein the user-defined parameters are set on a per examination processing apparatus basis

(i.e., Fig. 7 and Para 0056, Threshold value which is inputted by user can be entered on a per examination processing apparatus basis).

With respect to **Claim 6**, Qiao '423 teaches a data processing apparatus wherein the power control means limits the power supply state (**i.e., Para 0014 , Power forcing means limits the power**) to each device from the power supply unit to shift to a sleep mode (**i.e., Para 0014 , Power forcing means limits the power in the printer and its devices by putting the printer into a standby state**) based on the results of examination of a plurality of processes provided by the examining means (**i.e., Para 0057-0059 , power save mode is set based on the results of the plurality of clients processes examined by the examination means**).

With respect to **Claim 7**, Matsumoto '434 teaches a data processing apparatus comprising an image forming device (**i.e., 101 of Fig. 1, Printer**)

With respect to **Claim 8**, Qiao '423 teaches a power control method (**i.e., Para 0016, Power save control method**) for a data processing apparatus including, a power source unit for supplying power required to form images (**i.e., Hardware configuration of a Printer in Fig. 5, all printers include a power source which supplies power required to form images**), for communicating with a plurality of information processing apparatuses through a network (**i.e., Fig. 13 and Para 0030, Printer in communication with clients on the network**), the power control method comprising the steps of: examining (**i.e., Para 0012, packet monitoring means examines processes**) a process running on each of the plurality of information processing

apparatuses through the network (*i.e., Para 0013, process of sending packets to the printer by each client is monitored in real time*); and controlling a state of supplying power (*i.e., Para 0014 , Power forcing means controls power to printer*) of the power source unit to each device in the data process apparatus (*i.e., Para 0014, Power is saved in each device of the printer when conditions are met*) based on the result of the process examination (*i.e., 208 of fig. 12 ,the process examination checks if the client is idle or not*)and a condition for transitioning the state of supplying power of the power source unit to each device (*i.e., 206 of Fig. 12, received time stored is compare to predetermined value*).

Qiao '423 does not explicitly teach examining that a process that has a specific process name is running on each of the plurality of information processing apparatuses.

However, the mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Sugahara '671, In particular, Sugahara '671 teaches examining (*i.e., Para 0023, job observation stationary module and status monitor for examining*) that has a specific process name (*i.e., Para 0022-0023, job observation module incorporated in a server where a server may be associated with each printer is used to examine processes with specific names such as whether a 'print job' is running or not*) running on each of the plurality of information processing apparatuses (*i.e., Para 0022-0023, 'status condition' process running on each printer over a network*).

In view of this, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to modify the data processing apparatus of Qiao '423

as taught by Sugahara '671 since Sugahara '671 suggested in Para 0008-0009 that such a modification would provide an improved printing system capable of executing efficient print operation.

With respect to **Claim 9**, Qiao '423 teaches a power control method wherein the examining step examines the process in accordance with user-defined parameters (i.e., **Para 0056, the examining means examines client computers in accordance with user defined parameters**).

With respect to **Claim 10**, Qiao '423 teaches a power control method wherein the user defined parameters include whether the process is active (i.e., **208 of fig. 12, after the user defined parameter met the process examination checks if the client is active or not**).

With respect to **Claim 11**, Qiao '423 teaches a power control method wherein the examining step comprises examining a load average of the process (i.e., **Para 0012, summing of past average usage rates of clients**) and wherein the power control step controls the power supply state based on the results of the process examination of the load average (i.e., **210 of Fig. 12, Load average is examined and helps determine if the power control means will control the printer into standby mode**).

With respect to **Claim 12**, Qiao '423 teaches a power control method wherein the user-defined parameters are set on a per examination processing apparatus basis (i.e., **Fig. 7 and Para 0056, Threshold value which is inputted by user can be entered on a per examination processing apparatus basis**).

With respect to **Claim 13**, Qiao '423 teaches a power control method wherein the power control step comprises limiting the power supply state (*i.e., Para 0014 , Power forcing means limits the power*) to each device from the power supply unit to shift to a sleep mode (*i.e., Para 0014 , Power forcing means limits the power in the printer and its devices by putting the printer into a standby state*) based on the results of examination of a plurality of processes provided by the examining step (*i.e., Para 0057-0059 , power save mode is set based on the results of the plurality of clients processes examined by the examination means*).

With respect to **Claim 14**, Qiao '423 teaches a power control method wherein the data processing apparatus comprises an image forming device (*i.e., Para 0011-0012, Printer*)

With regards to the storage medium of **Claim 16**, the limitation of the claim 16 are corrected by limitation of claim 1 above. The steps of claim 16 read into the function step of claim 1.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DENNIS DICKER whose telephone number is (571)270-3140. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 7:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Twyler Haskins can be reached on (571) 272-7406. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

5/15/2008
/D. D./
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/Twyler L. Haskins/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625